

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/241,989

Applicant(s)

Suzuki et al

Examiner

Kenneth Parker

Art Unit

2871



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kenneth Parker

(3) _____

(2) Frank Chau

(4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 25, 2002Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner indicated that the after final submission was being reviewed and that the arguments regarding the issue of recapture on the 95 verses 90 degree may be persuasive, but that further review was necessary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

KENNETH PARKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2871

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required